PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTH	IORITY					
To:		PCT				
Kanji NAGATO		·				
SKK Bldg., 5th Floor		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
No.8-1, Shinbashi 5-chome						
Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-0004 JAPAN		(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)				
		[D. 6.11]				
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	21.9.2004			
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION				
FPYM978PC International application No.	International filing date	(daybeauthbacu)	See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/JP2004/012001			20.08.2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC)	<u> </u>		20.06.2003			
Int.Cl ⁷ G01	L9/04, G01L13	/06, G01L19	0/06			
Applicant						
YAMATAKE CO	RPORATION					
1. This opinion contains indications rel	ating to the following item	ns:				
Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-establishr	nent of opinion with regar	d to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV Lack of unity of	of invention					
X Box No. V Reasoned state citations and ex	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain docum	ents cited	•				
Box No. VII Certain defects	in the international applic	cation				
Box No. VIII Certain observe	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
2. FURTHER ACTION						
If a demand for international prelim	inary examination is mad	e, this opinion will l	be considered to be a written opinion of the			
International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form						
PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						
Name and mailing address of the ISA/	Date of completion of thi	s opinion	Authorized officer			
JAPAN PATENT OFFICE 01.09.2004			Masayuki MORI			
(ISA/JP) Facsimile No.			Telephone No.			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/012001

Box No. I	I Basis of this opinion							
1. With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
	the international application in the language in which it was filed							
	a translation of the international application into							
	translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))	•						
2. With r	regard to any nucleotide end/or amino gold sequence displaced in the intermetional and	Manatan an'i ao ao ao a						
claime	2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
a. typ	rpe of material							
	a sequence listing							
	table(s) related to the sequence listing							
b. for	rmat of material	•						
	on paper							
. \square	in electronic form							
c. time	ne of filing/furnishing							
	contained in the international application as filed							
	filed together with the international application in electronic form							
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search							
. •								
	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or addition in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, we	nal copies is identical to that						
4. Addition	onal comments:							
		•						
		•						
		·						
		1						
		ł						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/012001

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

• •	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	3, 6, 7	YES
		Claims	1, 2, 4, 5	NO NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-7	NO.

Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-7 YES

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP 58-180927 A (Tokyo Shibaura Electric Co., Ltd.), 22 October 1983, Figs. 2 to 5 & GB 2118724 A & US 4519255 A

Document 2: JP 52-43474 A (Fuji Denki Seizo Kabushiki Kaisha), 05 April 1977, Figs. 2 and 3 (Family: none)

(Explanation 1)

Document 1 cited in the International Search Report discloses that a concave curved surface is used as a protection device for a pressure-sensitive element. Therefore, the invention according to claims 1 and 4 has no novelty.

To provide a protective surface to both sides of the device of the above publication 1, and the shape of the protective curved surface are design matters that can be properly changed. It is also only a design matter to form the device of the above publication 1 into a differential pressure type device. Consequently, the invention according to claims 1-7 has no inventive step.

(Explanation 2)

Document 2 cited in the International Search Report discloses a differential pressure gauge in which concave curved surfaces are disposed in both sides of a pressure-sensitive diaphragm as a protection device for a pressure-sensitive element. Therefore, the invention according to claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 has no novelty.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/012001

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:

The shape of the protective curved surface described in the above publication 2 is a design matter that can be properly changed. In addition, the fixation of the device of the publication 2 to a base is also merely a design matter. For this reason, the invention according to claims 1-7 has no inventive step.